

New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System Police and Fire Retirement System Public Employees' Group Life Insurance Plan

Thomas P. DiNapoli, Comptroller

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE COMPTROLLER ON ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

Teri E. Landin Retirement Systems Actuary

July 2009

Part 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes the most recent actuarial experience and includes my recommendation that we continue using our existing actuarial assumptions for the April 1, 2009 valuation. Next year is our regularly scheduled year to review all assumptions.

The April 1, 2009 valuation reflects the following overall experience:

- 1. An actual market rate of return for FYE 2009 of -26.4%; 5 year average = 1.1%; and 10 year average of 3.1%
- 2. Present value of benefits of \$148.9 billion in ERS and \$27.8 billion in PFRS
- 3. Total net assets of \$94.2 billion in ERS and \$16.7 billion in PFRS
- 4. Total actuarial assets of \$126.4 billion in ERS and \$22.4 billion in PFRS
- 5. Annual salaries (4/1/08 through 3/31/09) total \$24.1 billion in ERS and \$3.0 billion in PFRS

The April 1, 2009 valuation will be used to generate employer contributions for FYE 2011, with local employers paying their bill on February 1, 2011. The ERS contribution rates will rise from 7.4% of payroll to 11.9%. As a reference, the new entrant employer rate of a regular member is approximately 11%. New members contribute 3% of their salary for their first ten years.

The average employer contribution in PFRS will rise from approximately 15.1% of payroll to 18.2%. The new entrant rate for an average member in PFRS is approximately 20%. Almost all members of PFRS are non-contributory.

Looking into the future, if our investments return 8% annually, rates should continue to increase significantly over the next few years.

At the July 22, 2009 meeting of the Comptroller's Actuarial Advisory Committee, the above recommendations were unanimously approved.

Teri E. Landin Retirement Systems Actuary Dated: July, 2009

PART II

INTEREST ASSUMPTIONS

The funds of the Retirement System are invested within limitations set by the asset allocation policy and statute. Policy and statutes have changed over the years and there has been a corresponding shift in the composition of our portfolio. The changing structure of the portfolio is shown in Table 1.

In the last ten years, the size of the invested portfolio has decreased from \$111.0 billion to \$109.0 billion. The fixed income investments are purchased and held primarily under a "buy and hold" strategy, which is why the actuarial rate of returns for these investments use amortized cost values.

Table IDistribution of Investments of the Common Retirement FundDollar Amounts and Percentage of Portfolio					
Fiscal Year E (in Million	nding Marc s of Dollars				
	199	9*	200	09	
Type of Asset	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
Government Bonds	\$24,907	22.4%	\$14,547	13.4%	
Treasury Inflation Protection Securities	N/A	N/A	12,478	11.5	
Corporate Bonds	9,401	8.5	9,516	8.7	
Mortgages	1,509	1.4	710	0.7	
Money Market	2,542	2.3	3,827	3.5	
Equity Real Estate	3,092	2.8	7,067	6.5	
Domestic Equities	56,283	50.7	34,332	31.4	
International Equities	10,115	9.1	13,539	12.4	
Absolute Return Strategy			2,381	2.2	
Private Equity Investments	3,160	2.8	10,564	9.7	
TOTAL	\$111,009	100.0	108,961	100.0	

*The 1999 report used the amortized book value for bonds.

Table II shows the rate of return by investment over the last ten years. The total return on fixed income investments has generally been declining. Common stocks are more volatile than bonds and mortgages. The yield shown for non-fixed income investments fluctuates much more as a result of changes in market values.

Table II Retirement System's Rate of Investment Return By Type of Asset									
Type of Asset	2000	2003	2006	2009					
Government Bonds	7.9%	8.4%	6.1%	4.3%					
Corporate Bonds	7.3	6.2	6.9	5.7					
Mortgages	8.9	10.8	8.1	7.9					
Money Market	5.3	1.8	4.0	1.7					
Domestic Equities*	23.5	-24.8	14.3	-37.9					
International Investments*	37.9	-22.4	27.3	-45.6					
Equity Real Estate	24.8	18.4	35.2	-32.7					
Private Equity Investments	44.9	-9.3	29.3	-22.9					
Absolute Return Strategy18.9									
*Time-weighted rate									

Table III contains general historical market segment returns for equities and fixed income. The equity returns were compiled from the Ibbotson/Sinquefield Monthly Returns. The fixed income information was obtained from the Leuthold Group.

Table III General Historical Returns				
Calendar Years	Equities	Fixed Income		
1926-29	19.19%	4.31%		
1930-39	-0.05	3.62		
1940-49	9.17	2.62		
1950-59	19.35	3.55		
1960-69	7.81	5.43		
1970-79	5.86	8.70		
1980-89	17.55	11.70		
1990-99	18.26	7.99		
2000-08	-3.59	5.93		
Inception (1926-2008)	9.63	6.18		

Table IV displays the Retirement System's rate of investment earnings on investments that we actuarially smooth (equities, international investments, private equity investments and equity real estate) and amortized cost investments (mortgages and bonds) for each of the last ten years.

Table IV					
Recent Retirement System's Returns					
		Amortized			
Fiscal Year	Assets to be	Cost	Total		
Ending 3/31	Smoothed	Investments	Portfolio		
1998	40.8	8.2	30.4		
1999	10.5	9.0	10.0		
2000	26.9	7.6	21.5		
2001	-21.1	7.9	-11.6		
2002	0.4	9.5	3.3		
2003	-19.3	7.7	-10.1		
2004	40.4	7.7	28.5		
2005	10.9	5.9	9.2		
2006	19.3	6.0	15.9		
2007	15.5	5.5	12.8		
2008	1.0	6.0	2.4		
2009	-35.3	4.4	-20.3*		
*The 2009 time-weighted rate of return on a market					
basis was -26.4%					

The rate of return on fixed income investments generally exhibits a declining pattern. We expect this rate of return to continue to decline based on our current holdings. The long term Treasury Bonds are slightly below 5%, so with additional purchases of fixed income investments, the fixed portfolio's rate of return should not increase.

Assuming that the current asset allocation strategy continues to be 70% non-fixed and 30% fixed income investments, we recommend continuation of the 8% actuarial rate of return for the April 1, 2009 valuation. We also recommend that we continue using our five year smoothing method for our non-fixed income assets and retain amortized cost value for fixed income assets.

PART III

SALARY ASSUMPTIONS

The current components of our salary scale assumptions are as follows:

	ERS	PFRS
Inflation (CPI)	3.0%	3.0%
Productivity and Merit (approx)	2.4	3.7
TOTAL	5.4%	6.7%

The salary scale tables vary by age and result in an expected one-year increase on our population of 5.4% for ERS and 6.7% for PFRS.

Selected values showing the expected one year increase at various ages:

AGE	ERS	PFRS
25	9.24%	16.25%
30	7.76	9.99
35	6.62	6.89
40	5.87	5.90
45	5.40	5.76
50	5.01	5.81
55	4.75	6.09
60	4.59	6.87

Since inflation has the same effect on all public employees, the same inflation factor should be used for the ERS and PFRS salary scales. Recent national experience is detailed in Table V.

Comparison of Annual Rates of Increase of Average Calendar Year Consumer Price Index and Wages				
Year	(1) CPI (U.S. City Average)	(2) Wages	(3) Column (2) Minus Column (1)	
1971	4.30%	5.02%	0.72%	
1972	3.30	9.80	6.50	
1973	6.23	6.26	0.03	
1974	10.97	5.94	(-) 5.03	
1975	9.14	7.47	(-) 1.67	
1976	5.77	6.90	1.13	
1977	6.45	5.99	(-) 0.46	
1978	7.66	7.94	0.28	
1979	11.26	8.75	(-) 2.51	
1980	13.52	9.01	(-) 4.51	
1981	10.37	10.07	(-) 0.30	
1982	6.13	5.51	(-) 0.62	
1983	3.22	4.87	1.65	
1984	4.26	5.88	1.62	
1985	3.57	1.04	(-) 2.53	
1986	1.92	2.97	1.05	
1987	3.65	6.38	2.73	
1988	4.08	4.93	0.85	
1989	4.80	3.96	(-) 0.84	
1990	5.39	4.62	(-) 0.77	
1991	4.27	3.73	(-) 0.54	
1992	3.01	5.15	2.14	
1993	2.95	0.86	(-) 2.09	
1994	2.56	2.68	0.12	
1995	2.83	4.01	1.18	
1996	2.95	4.89	1.94	
1997	2.29	5.84	3.55	
1998	1.56	5.23	3.67	
1999	2.21	5.57	3.49	
2000	3.36	5.53	2.17	
2001	2.85	2.39	(-) 0.46	
2002	1.58	1.01	(-) 0.57	
2003	2.28	2.45	0.17	
2004	2.66	4.65	1.99	
2005	3.39	3.66	0.27	
	3.23	4.60	1.37	
	2.83	4.54	1.71	
2008	3.86		0.54	
2006 2007 2008 /ages s	3.23 2.83 3.86 hown are the calendar yea calculations.	4.60 4.54 4.40*	wa	

Inflation over the past 18 years has averaged 2.6%. Salary increases over the same period have averaged 4.7% in ERS and 6.3% in PFRS.

A general economic theory states that the excess of the increase in total wages (excluding merit increases) over the increase in inflation is an indication of the increase in productivity. Although the above table shows that, nationally, inflation often has been greater than general wage increases, long-term experience and the System's own experience suggest that wage increases will exceed inflation.

The following chart shows: (1) actual average salary increase percentages for full-time members, including merit and productivity increases (2) the increase in the consumer price index and (3) the automatic COLA increases.

Fiscal Year Annual Salary Increases Compared to CPI					
Fiscal Year Ending 3/31	Salary Increases		CPI	COLA	
	ERS	PFRS			
1982	13.0	12.0	6.8		
1983	12.2	9.6	3.6		
1984	9.1	9.7	4.7		
1985	9.9	9.7	3.7		
1986	8.2	8.5	2.3		
1987	8.5	8.4	3.0		
1988	10.2 *	9.2	3.9		
1989	5.8	9.8	4.9		
1990	8.3	8.1	5.3		
1991	7.3	10.8	4.9		
1992	2.9	4.3	3.2		
1993	4.6	5.7	3.1		
1994	6.1	9.5	2.6		
1995	4.9	5.4	2.9		
1996	3.0	5.1	2.8		
1997	3.5	4.7	2.8		
1998	4.5	8.5	1.4		
1999	4.5*	8.8	1.7		
2000	3.8	4.4	3.7		
2001	7.5	4.5	3.0	1.5	
2002	4.3	14.9	1.5	1.0	
2003	5.4	1.6	3.0	1.6	
2004	3.7	8.3	1.7	1.0	
2005	4.8	4.9	3.2	1.6	
2006	4.8	9.3	3.4	1.7	
2007	6.2	5.8	2.8	1.4	
2008	4.3	5.4	4.0	2.0	
2009	6.3	2.9	-0.4	1.0	
NOTE: The CPI in the above chart is by fiscal year, while the CPI in Table V is by average calendar year.					
*This includes an extra payroll (27th) for most State employees.					

Since the State is such a large employer in the ERS (more than 40% of salaries), a further breakdown of negotiated salary increases for its two largest unions is as follows:

for State Union Employees				
Fiscal Year Ending 3/31	CSEA	PEF		
1972	6.0%	6.0%		
1973	4.0	4.0		
1974	6.5	6.5		
1975	5.5	5.5		
1976	0.0	0.0		
1977	0.0	0.0		
1978	5.0 + 4.0 (9.2%)	5.0 + 4.0 (9.2%)		
1979	5.0	5.0		
1980	7.0	7.0		
1981	7.0	7.0		
1982	6.4	7.0		
1983	9.0	9.0		
1984	5.0 + 4.76 (10%)	8.0		
1985	5.0 + 4.76 (10%)	8.0		
1986	5.0	5.0		
1987	5.5	5.0		
1988	6.0	5.0		
1989	5.0	5.0		
1990	5.0	5.0		
1991	5.5	5.5		
1992	0.0	0.0		
1993	0.0	0.0		
1994	4.0	4.0		
1995	4.0 + 1.25	4.0 + 1.25		
1996	0.0	0.0		
1997	\$550 bonus	\$550 bonus		
1998	\$700 bonus, 3.5%	\$700 bonus, 3.5%		
1999	3.5	3.5		
2000	\$500 bonus, 3.0%	\$500 bonus, 3.0%		
2001	3.0	3.0		
2002	3.5	3.5		
2003	3.5	3.5		
2004	 <u> </u>			
2005	\$800 bonus, 2.5%	\$800 bonus, 2.5%		
2006	2.75	2.75		
2007	3.0	3.0		
2008 2009 -11	\$800 & 3.0% 3%,3%,4%	\$800 & 3.0% 3%,3%,4%		

In addition to negotiated raises, there are other payments which increase salary. These include awards, longevity payments, evaluation increases and promotions.

Actual average individual salary increases for the ERS and the PFRS (State and Local) have been as follows:

Average Annual Salary Increase Compared to CPI				
Year	ERS	PFRS	CPI	
4/1/71 - 3/31/76	8.3%	10.7%	6.9%	
4/1/76 - 3/31/81	7.3	8.7	9.6	
4/1/81 - 3/31/86	10.2	9.8	4.2	
4/1/86 - 3/31/91	8.0	9.3	4.4	
4/1/91 - 3/31/96	4.3	6.0	2.9	
4/1/96 - 3/31/01	5.0	6.2	2.5	
4/1/01 - 3/31/06	4.6	7.8	2.6	
4/1/06 - 3/31/09	5.6	4.7	2.1	

Reviewing the above information, I recommend maintaining the salary scale from tables by age for an overall increase of 5.4% for ERS and 6.7% for PFRS.

PART IV DEMOGRAPHIC ASSUMPTIONS

	FYE 09	FYE 08	FYE 07	FYE 06
Accidental Death	0.307	0.207	0.105	0.530
Ordinary Death	0.827	0.883	0.839	0.875
Withdrawal: 0-1 Yr	1.035	0.910	0.962	0.992
Withdrawal: 1-2 Yrs	0.930	0.987	1.041	1.099
Withdrawal: 2-3 Yrs	0.872	0.952	0.991	1.150
Withdrawal: 3-4	0.972	1.024	1.153	1.224
Withdrawal: 4 -<5 Yrs	0.990	0.958	1.147	0.981
Withdrawal: 5 -<10 Yrs	0.872	0.855	0.905	0.902
Withdrawal: 10+ Yrs	0.822	0.889	0.987	0.933
Tier 1,2 Ordinary Disability	0.936	1.024	0.819	0.865
Tier 3,4 Ordinary Disability	1.008	0.887	0.979	1.062
Tier 1,2 Accidental Disability	0.339	0.729	0.504	1.445
Tier 3,4 Accidental Disability	0.572	0.679	0.906	1.560
Tier 1 Regular Retirement	0.826	1.054	1.016	0.963
Tier 2,3,4 Regular Retirement	0.778	0.866	0.894	0.887
Aggregate Service	1.159	1.115	1.098	1.165
Aggregate Disability	1.040	1.109	1.119	1.116
Male Beneficiaries	1.185	1.209	0.954	0.963
Female Beneficiaries	1.237	1.177	1.112	1.176

Summary of the 2006 - 2009 One Year Experience Studies - ERS:

Summary of the 2006 - 2009 One Year Experience Studies – Police and Fire

	FYE 09	FYE 08	FYE 07	FYE 06
Accidental Death	0.400	1.603	1.618	0.813
Ordinary Death	0.614	0.661	0.609	0.988
Withdrawal:	0.859	0.830	0.908	0.817
Ordinary Disability	0.708	0.521	0.997	0.774
Perf of Duty Disability	1.301	1.450	1.019	0.913
Accidental Disability	0.831	0.949	0.981	1.232
Service Retirement				
20 Yr Tier 1	0.709	0.575	0.603	0.587
20 Yr Tier 2	0.863	0.914	0.961	1.112
384e Tier 1 (add'l 60ths)	0.554	0.431	0.635	0.636
384e Tier 2 (add'l 60ths)	0.823	0.968	1.027	1.053
State Police Tier 1	1.404	1.638	0.655	1.312
State Police Tier 2	0.778	0.822	0.682	0.828
Police/Fire Service	1.021	1.007	1.074	1.182
Police/Fire Disability	1.033	0.968	0.886	1.350

I recommend that we continue to use the existing demographic tables.

I:\Admin...\Actuarial Advisory Committee\2009 Meeting\2009ExecutiveSummary.doc